

Scoring Rubric for the Memorial Conference Grant

	Outstanding 4	Good 3	Fair 2	Poor 1 or 0
Benefit to applicant (Opportunity)	Proposal has a thoughtful discussion including concrete examples of how the applicant can benefit.	Proposal provides a thoughtful but non-specific discussion of how the applicant can benefit.	Proposal includes a general description of potential benefit of attendance.	Proposal does not give much attention to how the applicant can benefit.
Participation (at NEAIR Conference)	Proposal provides a specific plan of participation in the full array of activities and opportunities provided by the conference.	Proposal describes plans to participate in some of the conference activities	Proposal includes a vague description of participation in conference activities.	Proposal lacks a discussion of the applicant's degree of participation.
Financial need and funding	Proposal provides full details and rationale regarding expenses, financial need, and other financial support available (such as institutional support).	Proposal provides some specifics about expenses, financial need and other financial support available.	Proposal provides a vague discussion of expenses and financial need.	Proposal lacks a discussion of expenses and financial need, or lacks a sound argument why funding is needed.

Note: It is unlikely that a proposal would have a perfect score of 16; the rubric is meant to provide consistency and suggest multiple ways that proposals can qualify. Reviewers are asked to explain their rationale for assigning a score of 0 to 4 for each rating.